BEFORE WE GET STARTED

Register your attendance. Session numbers are in the program.



SCAN HERE FOR AUSTIN ROSTERS



UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

"From First Speech to First Win: Your Debate Journey Begins!"

Presented by Mark Tribett <u>mark.tribett@dilleyisd.net</u> Principal & Debate Coach Dilley HS Dilley, TX

Agenda

- Debate Round Structures
- Writing your AFF Brief/Case
- Building you NEG Case
- Counterplans (CP)
- Roles and Responsibilities
- Flowing

Debate Structure with Responsibilities

First Affirmative Constructive (1AC)

8 Minutes

- Present the Case
- Present the Plan
- Present Advantages
- Written & Ready to Go





Cross Examination Period (CX)



First Negative Constructive (1NC)

- Attack Topicality
 - Low Risk, High Reward
- Attack Affirmative Case (Optional)
- Defend Status Quo (Optional)
- Present Disadvantages (Optional)
- Present Counterplan (Optional)
- Present Kritiks (Optional)
- Also 8 Minutes



Cross Examination Period



Second Affirmative Constructive (2AC)

- 8 Minutes
- Pull Case Drops
- Attack Disadvantages
- Attack Counterplan
- Attack Kritiks
- Extend Advantages





Cross Examination Period



Second Negative Constructive (1NC)

- Extend Topicality
- Extend Negative arguments as needed in the round





Cross Examination Period



First Negative Rebuttal (5 Minutes)

- Extend winning arguments
- Topicality
- Disadvantages or Counterplans
- This is a perfect block because 2NC just finished.
- NO CX in Rebuttals
- 1NR needs to go on the offensive!!!
- Extensive Prep Time

First Affirmative Rebuttal (1AR)

- 5 minutes in length
- Most important speech in the debate
- They will perhaps only extend one advantage from their case and will pick 1-2 core 2AC arguments on Negative offcase positions; on those core arguments, they will do further explanation and read more cards.

Second Negative Rebuttal (2NR)

- Concentrate on issues that the Negative feels they are winning.
- Take time to talk to the judge about the voting issues in the round.

Second Affirmative Rebuttal (2AR)

- Take time to talk to the judge about the voting issues in the round.
- Take time to talk to the judge about the voting issues in the round.

Affirmative Case Structure

• See Handout: Affirmative Case Structures



Negative Case Structure & Strategy

- The negative team may attempt to beat the case and/or plan using direct type of argumentation, called On Case arguments.
 - Attacking the Stock Issues
 - On Case means that you are clashing right down the flow of the affirmative case that has just been presented.
 - the negative
 - Directly contradicts the affirmative position.



Negative Case Structure & Strategy



- Attack Solvency
 - This is arguably the most attacked issue on case.
 - The affirmative team has to show that its plan will
 - solve for the problems/harms that it presented.
- This is the most difficult part of building an effective affirmative case.
 - It also provides the weakest link for the negative to break.

Negative Case Structure & Strategy

- Attack Topicality
 - Low Risk, High Reward
- Both experienced and novice teams alike will run some form of topicality arguments
- A topicality argument asserts that the affirmative plan does not support the resolution
- The negative must be prepared to attack topicality to keep unreasonable cases on topic

Extra-Topicality

- Extra-Topicality
 - The theory behind extra-topicality is that the affirmative position goes beyond the scope of the resolution.
 - In order to get the problem solved, the affirmative's plan may extend beyond what the resolution is asking of the affirmative team.
 - If the resolution specifies action by the United States and the affirmative uses the United Nations as the agent of action instead, the affirmative is extra-topical.

Counter Plans

- Must be Non-Topical
- Must be competitive with the Affirmative Plan
- Must be mutually exclusive
 - Negative Counter Plan and Affirmative plan cannot coexist.
- Must document solvency for the counterplan
- 'Must have a net benefit.

Activity if Time Allows

Impact Calculus Reading/Cards with CX Or Flowing

THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING



Austin Eval

We value your feedback.

Please complete

conference evaluation

after your last session.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN